NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK X Date Index No. Purchased: ALFREDO QUINONES, Index No.: Plaintiff, Plaintiff designates New York County as the place of trial. -against-The basis of venue is Plaintiff's domicile. THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK and THE CHURCH OF ST. JOSEPH **SUMMONS** OF THE HOLY FAMILY, Plaintiff resides in New York Defendant(s). County

The Above Named Defendants:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

X

Dated: New York, New York August 14, 2019

Yours, etc.,

By: Adam P. Slater, Esq.

SLATER SLATER SCHULMAN LLP

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10022

(212) 922-0906

-and-

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

By: Gary Certain, Esq.

CERTAIN & ZILBERG, PLLC

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10022

(212) 687-7800

TO:

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK 1011 1st Avenue New York, New York 10022

THE CHURCH OF ST. JOSEPH OF THE HOLY FAMILY 405 West 125<sup>th</sup> Street New York, New York 10027

CLIDDEME COLIDT OF THE CTATE OF NEW YORK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
X	Date Filed:
ALFREDO QUINONES,	Index No.:  VERIFIED COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
-against-	
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK and THE CHURCH OF ST. JOSEPH OF THE HOLY FAMILY,	
Defendant(s).	

Plaintiff, ALFREDO QUINONES ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys Slater Slater Schulman LLP and Certain & Zilberg, PLLC, brings this action against the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York and the Church of St. Joseph of the Holy Family and alleges, on personal knowledge as to himself and on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

### JURISIDICTION AND VENUE

- This action is brought pursuant to the Children Victims Act ("CVA") (L. 2019 c.
   See CPLR §§ 30.10; 208(b); 214-g.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York ("Diocese") pursuant to CPLR §§ 301 and 302, in that the Diocese either resides in New York or conducts or, at relevant times conducted, activities in New York that give rise to the claims asserted herein.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant the Church of St. Joseph of the Holy Family ("St. Joseph's Church") pursuant to CPLR §§ 301 and 302, in that St. Joseph's

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Church either resides in New York or conducts or, at relevant times conducted, activities in New York that give rise to the claims asserted herein.

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action because the amount of damages Plaintiff seeks exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

5. Venue for this action is proper in the County of New York pursuant to CPLR § 503 in that one or more Defendants resides in this County and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred here.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a 63 year old individual residing in New York, New York. Plaintiff was approximately 14 or 15 at the time of the sexual abuse alleged herein.
- 7. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Diocese was and continues to be a non-profit religious corporation, organized exclusively for charitable, religious, and educational purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 8. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Diocese was and remains authorized to conduct business under the laws of the State of New York.
- 9. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Diocese's principal place of business is 1011 1st Avenue, New York, NY 10022.
- The Diocese oversees a variety of liturgical, sacramental, and faith formation 10. programs.
- 11. The Diocese has various programs that seek out the participation of children in its activities.
- 12. The Diocese, through its agents, servants, and/or employees has control over those activities involving children.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

13. The Diocese has the power to employ individuals working with and/or alongside children within defendant Diocese, including but not limited to those at St. Joseph's Church.

- 14. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, St. Joseph's Church was and continues to be a religious New York State non-profit entity.
- At all times material to the Verified Complaint, St. Joseph's Church maintained its 15. principal place of business at 405 West 125th Street, New York, NY 10027.
- 16. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, St. Joseph's Church is a parish operating under the control of the Diocese.
- 17. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, St. Joseph's Church is a parish operating for the benefit of the Diocese.
- 18. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Monsignor Wallace A. Harris ("Harris") was an agent, servant, and/or employee of St. Joseph's Church.
- 19. At all times material to the Verified Complaint Harris was an agent, servant, and/or employee of the Diocese.
- 20. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of St. Joseph's Church, Harris remained under the control and supervision of the Diocese.
- 21. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of St. Joseph's Church, Harris remained under the control and supervision of St. Joseph's Church.
- 22. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of the Diocese, Harris remained under the control and supervision of the Diocese.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

23. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or

employee of the Diocese, Harris remained under the control and supervision of St. Joseph's

Church.

24. St. Joseph's Church placed Harris in positions where he had immediate access to

children.

25. The Diocese placed Harris in positions where he had immediate access to children.

THE CATHOLIC CHURCH'S LONG HISTORY OF COVERING UP CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

26. In 1962, the Vatican in Rome issued a Papal Instruction binding upon all Bishops

throughout the world including the Bishop of the Diocese. The instruction was binding upon the

Bishop of the Diocese. The instruction directed that allegations and reports of sexual abuse of

children by priests were required to be kept secret and not disclosed either to civil authorities such

as law enforcement, to co-employees or supervisors of parish priests, or to parishioners generally.

27. Canon law requires Bishops to keep *subsecreto* files also known as confidential

files. These files are not to be made public.

28. Because of problems of sexual misconduct of Catholic clergy, the Catholic Church

and other organizations sponsored treatment centers for priests that had been involved in sexual

misconduct, including centers in Albuquerque, New Mexico, Suitland, Maryland, Downington

Pennsylvania, and Ontario, Canada.

29. Sexual abuse of clerics by Catholic clergy has been a reality in the Catholic Church

for centuries but has remained covered by deep secrecy. This secrecy is rooted in the official

policies of the Catholic Church which are applicable to all dioceses and in fact are part of the

practices of each diocese, including the Diocese. Sexual abuse of minors by Catholic clergy and

religious leaders became publicly known in the mid 1980's as a result of media coverage of a case

08/14/2019

bishops and other Church leaders continued to pursue a policy of secrecy.

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

in Lafayette, Louisiana. Since that time, the media has continued to expose cases of clergy sexual abuse throughout the United States. In spite of these revelations as well as the many criminal and civil litigations the Church has been involved in as a result of clergy sexual abuse of minors, the

All of the procedures required in the so-called "Dallas Charter" to purportedly 30.

protect children have been previously mandated in the Code of Canon Law but were consistently

ignored by Catholic bishops. In place of the required processes, which would have kept a written

record of cases of clergy sexual abuse, the bishops applied a policy of clandestine transfer of

accused priests from one local or diocesan assignment to another or from one diocese to another.

The receiving parishioners and often the receiving pastors were not informed of any accusations

of sexual abuse of minors.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

The truth concerning the extent of the frequency of sexual abuse at the hands of 31.

Catholic priests and Catholic Church's pervasive campaign to cover up such crimes continues to

be revealed. In 2018, the State of Pennsylvania released a grand jury report releasing the name of

over 300 "predator priests" in Pennsylvania alone who committed acts of sexual abuse on more

than a thousand children, while also noting that there were "likely thousands more victims whose

records were lost or who were too afraid to come forward." The report's opening remarks bear

repeating here:

We, the members of this grand jury, need you to hear this. We know some of you have heard some of it before. There have been other reports about child sex abuse within the Catholic Church. But never on this scale. For many of us, those earlier stories happened someplace else, someplace away. Now we know the truth: it happened everywhere.

Most of the victims were boys; but there were girls too. Some were teens; many were prepubescent. Some were manipulated with alcohol or pornography. Some were made to masturbate their assailants or were groped by them. Some were raped orally, some vaginally, some anally. But

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

all of them were brushed aside, in every part of the state, by church leaders who preferred to protect the abusers and their institution above all.

32. The 2018 grand jury report found numerous, pervasive strategies employed by the Catholic Church which the report referred to collectively as a "playbook for concealing the truth." These measures include but are not limited to the following:

- Make sure to use euphemisms rather than real words to describe the sexual assaults in diocese documents. Never say "rape"; say "inappropriate contact" or "boundary issues."
- Don't conduct genuine investigations with properly trained personnel. Instead, assign fellow clergy members to ask inadequate questions and then make credibility determinations about the colleagues with whom they live and work.
- For an appearance of integrity, send priests for "evaluation" at church-run psychiatric treatment centers. Allow these experts to "diagnose" whether the priest was a pedophile, based largely on the priest's "self-reports" and regardless of whether the priest had actually engaged in sexual contact with a child.
- When a priest does have to be removed, don't say why. Tell his parishioners
  that he is on "sick leave," or suffering from "nervous exhaustion." Or say
  nothing at all.
- Even if a priest is raping children, keep providing him housing and living expenses, although he may be using these resources to facilitate more sexual assaults.
- If a predator's conduct becomes known to the community, don't remove him from the priesthood to ensure that no more children will be victimized. Instead, transfer him to a new location where no one will know he is a child abuser.
- Finally, and above all, don't tell the police. Child sexual abuse, even short of actual penetration, is and has for all relevant times been a crime. But don't treat it that way; handle it like a personnel matter, "in house."
- 33. Refusal to disclose sexually abusing clerics to parishioners and even fellow clerics has been one way utilized by Defendant to maintain secrecy. Another has been to use various

MYCCEE DOG NO 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

forms of persuasion on victims or their families to convince them to remain silent about incidents

of abuse. These forms of persuasion have included methods that have ranged from sympathetic

attempts to gain silence to direct intimidation to various kinds of threats. In so doing the clergy

involved, from bishops to priests, have relied on their power to overwhelm victims and their

families.

34. The sexual abuse of children and the Catholic Church's abhorrent culture of

concealing these crimes are at the heart of the allegations complained of herein.

35. The Child Victims Act was enacted for the explicit purpose of providing survivors

of child sexual abuse with the recourse to bring a private right of action against the sexual predators

who abused them and the institutions that concealed their crimes.

**FACTS** 

36. Plaintiff was raised in a devout Roman Catholic family and, in or around 1969

and/or 1970, when Plaintiff was approximately 15 years old, Plaintiff began attending St. Joseph's

Church, a church within and under the auspices of the Diocese.

37. At all relevant times, Plaintiff participated in youth activities and church activities

at St. Joseph's Church.

38. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was

dependent on St. Joseph's Church and Harris.

39. During and through these activities, St. Joseph's Church had custody of Plaintiff

and accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff.

40. During and through these activities, St. Joseph's Church had responsibility of

Plaintiff and authority over him.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

41. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was dependent on the Diocese and St. Joseph's Church. During and through these activities the Diocese had custody of Plaintiff and accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff.

- 42. During and through these activities, the Diocese had responsibility of Plaintiff and authority over him.
- 43. Through his positions at, within, or for St. Joseph's Church, Harris was put in direct contact with Plaintiff, a member of St. Joseph's Church. It was under these circumstances that Plaintiff came to be under the direction, contact, and control of Harris, who used his position of authority and trust over Plaintiff to sexually abuse and harass Plaintiff.
- Through his positions at, within, or for the Diocese, Harris was put in direct contact 44. with Plaintiff, a member of St. Joseph's Church. It was under these circumstances that Plaintiff came to be under the direction, contact, and control of Harris, who used his position of authority and trust over Plaintiff to sexually abuse and harass Plaintiff.
- 45. On numerous occasions, while Plaintiff was a minor, Harris while acting as a priest, counselor, teacher, trustee, director, officer, employee, agent, servant and/or volunteer of St. Joseph's Church sexually assaulted, sexually abused and/or had sexual contact with Plaintiff in violation of the laws of the State of New York.
- On numerous occasions, while Plaintiff was a minor, Harris while acting as a priest, 46. counselor, teacher, trustee, director, officer, employee, agent, servant and/or volunteer of the Diocese sexually assaulted, sexually abused and/or had sexual contact with Plaintiff in violation of the laws of the State of New York.
  - 47. The abuse occurred from approximately in or about 1969 to 1971.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

48. Plaintiff's relationship to St. Joseph's Church, as a vulnerable minor, parishioner

and participant in Church activities, was one in which Plaintiff was subject to St. Joseph's Church's

ongoing influence. The dominating culture of the Catholic Church over Plaintiff pressured

Plaintiff not to report Harris' sexual abuse of him.

49. Plaintiff's relationship to the Diocese, as a vulnerable minor, parishioner and

participant in Church activities, was one in which Plaintiff was subject to the Diocese's ongoing

influence. The dominating culture of the Catholic Church over Plaintiff pressured Plaintiff not to

report Harris' sexual abuse of him.

SCEF DOC. NO.

50. At no time did the Diocese ever send an official, a member of the clergy, an

investigator or any employee or independent contractor to St. Joseph's Church to advise the

parishioners either verbally or through a church bulletin that there were credible allegations against

Harris and to request that anyone who saw, suspected or suffered sexual abuse to come forward

and file a report with the police department. Rather, the Diocese remained silent.

51. At all times material hereto, Harris was under the direct supervision, employ and/or

control of St. Joseph's Church.

52. At all times material hereto, Harris, was under the direct supervision, employ and/or

control of the Diocese.

53. St. Joseph's Church knew and/or reasonably should have known, and/or knowingly

condoned, and/or covered up, the inappropriate and unlawful sexual activities of Harris who

sexually abused Plaintiff.

54. The Diocese knew and/or reasonably should have known, and/or knowingly

condoned, and/or covered up, the inappropriate and unlawful sexual activities of Harris who

sexually abused Plaintiff.

DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

55. St. Joseph's Church negligently or recklessly believed that Harris was fit to work

with children and/or that any previous problems he had were fixed and cured; that Harris would

not sexually molest children; and that Harris would not injure children.

The Diocese negligently or recklessly believed that Harris was fit to work with 56.

children and/or that any previous problems he had were fixed and cured; that Harris would not

sexually molest children; and that Harris would not injure children.

57. St. Joseph's Church had the responsibility to supervise and/or direct priests serving

at St. Joseph's Church, and specifically, had a duty not to aid a pedophile such as Harris, by

assigning, maintaining and/or appointing him to a position with access to minors.

The Diocese had the responsibility to supervise and/or direct priests serving at St. 58.

Joseph's Church, and specifically, had a duty not to aid a pedophile such as Harris, by assigning,

maintaining and/or appointing him to a position with access to minors.

59. By holding Harris out as safe to work with children, and by undertaking the

custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, St. Joseph's Church entered into a

fiduciary relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff's being a minor, and by St.

Joseph's Church undertaking the care and guidance of the vulnerable minor Plaintiff, St. Joseph's

Church held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

60. By holding Harris out as safe to work with children, and by undertaking the

custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, the Diocese entered into a fiduciary

relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff's being a minor, and by the Diocese

undertaking the care and guidance of the vulnerable minor Plaintiff, the Diocese held a position of

empowerment over Plaintiff.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

St. Joseph's Church, by holding itself out as being able to provide a safe 61.

environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. This

empowerment prevented the then minor Plaintiff from effectively protecting himself. St. Joseph's

Church thus entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff.

The Diocese, by holding itself out as being able to provide a safe environment for 62.

children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. This empowerment prevented

the then minor Plaintiff from effectively protecting himself. The Diocese thus entered into a

fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff.

DOC. NO.

63. St. Joseph's Church had a special relationship with Plaintiff.

64. The Diocese had a special relationship with Plaintiff.

65. St. Joseph's Church owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because St. Joseph's

Church had superior knowledge about the risk that Harris posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in

general in its programs, and/or the risks that its facilities posed to minor children.

66. The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because the Diocese had

superior knowledge about the risk that Harris posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its

programs, and/or the risks that its facilities posed to minor children.

67. St. Joseph's Church owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because it solicited

youth and parents for participation in its youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have

the youth participate in its programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff;

promoted its facilities and programs as being safe for children; held its agents including Harris out

as safe to work with children; encouraged parents and children to spend time with its agents; and/or

encouraged its agents, including Harris, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

68. The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because it solicited youth and parents for participation in its youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have the youth participate in its programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff; promoted its facilities and programs as being safe for children; held its agents including Harris out as safe to

work with children; encouraged parents and children to spend time with its agents; and/or

encouraged its agents, including Harris, to spend time with, interact with, and recruit children.

69. St. Joseph's Church owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because St.

Joseph Church's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.

70. The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because the

Diocese's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.

71. St. Joseph Church's breach of its duties include, but are not limited to: failure to

have sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to properly implement

the policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to

make sure that the policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse were working, failure to

adequately inform families and children or the risks of child sexual abuse, failure to investigate

risks of child sexual abuse, failure to properly train the workers at institutions and programs within

St. Joseph's Church, geographical confines, failure to protect children in its programs from child

sexual abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to

investigate the amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs,

leaders and people as safe, failure to train its employees properly to identify signs of child

molestation by fellow employees, failure by relying on mental health professionals, and/or failure

by relying on people who claimed that they could treat child molesters.

NVCCEE DOC NO 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

72. The Diocese's breach of its duties include, but are not limited to: failure to have

sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to properly implement the

policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make

sure that the policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse were working, failure to

adequately inform families and children or the risks of child sexual abuse, failure to investigate

risks of child sexual abuse, failure to properly train the workers at institutions and programs within

the Diocese, geographical confines, failure to protect children in its programs from child sexual

abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to investigate the

amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs, leaders and

people as safe, failure to train its employees properly to identify signs of child molestation by

fellow employees, failure by relying on mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on

people who claimed that they could treat child molesters.

73. St. Joseph's Church also breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff

and Plaintiff's family of the risk that Harris posed and the risks of child sexual abuse by clerics.

St. Joseph's Church also failed to warn Plaintiff about any of the knowledge that it had about child

sexual abuse.

74. The Diocese also breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and

Plaintiff's family of the risk that Harris posed and the risks of child sexual abuse by clerics. The

Diocese also failed to warn Plaintiff about any of the knowledge that it had about child sexual

abuse.

75. St. Joseph's Church also violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or

suspected abuse of children by Harris and/or its other agents to the police and law enforcement.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

76. The Diocese also violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or suspected

abuse of children by Harris and/or its other agents to the police and law enforcement.

77. By employing Harris at St. Joseph's Church, and other facilities within the Diocese,

the Diocese, through its agents, affirmatively represented to minor children and their families that

Harris did not pose a threat to children, did not have a history of molesting children, that the

Diocese did not know that Harris had a history of molesting children, and that the Diocese did not

know that Harris was a danger to children.

78. By employing Harris at St. Joseph's Church, St. Joseph's Church through its agents,

affirmatively represented to minor children and their families that Harris did not pose a threat to

children, did not have a history of molesting children, that St. Joseph's Church did not know that

Harris had a history of molesting children, and that St. Joseph's Church did not know that Harris

was a danger to children.

DOC. NO.

79. The Church induced Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family to rely on these affirmations

and did rely on them.

80. The Diocese has never publicly admitted the veracity of the allegations against

Harris, warned the public and/or conducted outreach to potential victims of his sexual abuse. The

pattern and practice of intentionally failing to disclose the identities and locations of sexually

inappropriate and/or abusive clerics has been practiced by the Diocese for decades and continues

through current day. The failure to disclose the identities of allegedly sexually inappropriate

and/or abusive clerics is unreasonable and knowingly or recklessly creates or maintains a condition

which endangers the safety or health of a considerable number of members of the public, including

Plaintiff.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

81. By allowing Harris to remain in active ministry, the Diocese, through its agents,

has made and continues to make affirmative representations to minor children and their families,

including Plaintiff and Plaintiff s family, that Harris does not pose a threat to children, does not

have a history of molesting children, that the Diocese does not know that Harris has a history of

molesting children and that the Diocese does not know that Harris is a danger to children.

82. The Diocese induced Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family to rely on these affirmations

and they did rely on them.

83. By allowing Harris to remain in active ministry, St. Joseph's Church, through its

agents, has made and continues to make affirmative representations to minor children and their

families, including Plaintiff and Plaintiff s family, that Harris does not pose a threat to children,

does not have a history of molesting children, that St. Joseph's Church does not know that Harris

has a history of molesting children and that St. Joseph's Church does not know that Harris is a

danger to children.

84. As a result of Defendants' conduct described herein, Plaintiff has and will continue

to suffer personal physical and psychological injuries, including but not limited to great pain of

mind and body, severe and permanent emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional

distress, problems sleeping, concentrating, low self-confidence, low self-respect, low self-esteem,

feeling of worthlessness, feeling shameful, and embarrassed, feeling alone and isolated, losing

faith in God, losing faith in authority figures, feeling estranged from the church, struggling with

alcohol and substance problems, struggling with gainful employment and career advancement,

feeling helpless, and hopeless, problems with sexual intimacy, relationship problems, trust issues,

feeling confused and angry, depression, anxiety, feeling dirty, used, and damaged, suicidal

ideations, having traumatic flashbacks, feeling that his/her childhood and innocence was stolen,

NIZGEE DOG NO 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

and feeling that his life was ruined. Plaintiff was prevented and will continue to be prevented from

performing Plaintiff's normal daily activities; has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for

medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and, on information and belief, has

incurred and will continue to incur loss of income and/or loss of earning capacity. As a victim of

Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff is unable at this time to fully describe all the details of that abuse

and the extent of the harm Plaintiff suffered as a result.

85. St. Joseph's Church violated various New York statutes, including, but not limited

to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, which require, inter alia, school officials, teachers, day

care center workers, providers of family or group family day care, and any other child care worker

to report suspected cases of child abuse and impose liability for failure to report.

86. The Diocese violated various New York statutes, including, but not limited to N.Y.

Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, which require, inter alia, school officials, teachers, day care center

workers, providers of family or group family day care, and any other child care worker to report

suspected cases of child abuse and impose liability for failure to report.

The injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff are specific in kind to Plaintiff

special, peculiar, and above and beyond those injuries and damages suffered by the public.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

88. Plaintiff repeats and realleges by reference each and every allegation set forth above

as if fully set forth herein.

87.

89. St. Joseph's Church knew or was negligent in not knowing that Harris posed a threat

of sexual abuse to children.

90. The Diocese knew or was negligent in not knowing that Harris posed a threat of

sexual abuse to children.

16

18 of 34

SCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

91. The acts of Harris described hereinabove were undertaken, and/or enabled by, and/or during the course, and/or within the scope of his employment, appointment, and/or agency with St. Joseph's Church.

- 92. The acts of Harris described hereinabove were undertaken, and/or enabled by, and/or during the course, and/or within the scope of his employment, appointment, and/or agency with the Diocese.
- 93. St. Joseph's Church owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from Harris's sexual deviancy, both prior to and/or subsequent to Harris's misconduct.
- 94. The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from Harris's sexual deviancy, both prior to and/or subsequent to Harris's misconduct.
- 95. St. Joseph's Church's willful, wanton, grossly negligent and/or negligent act(s) of commission and/or omission, resulted directly and/or proximately in the damages set forth herein at length.
- The Diocese's willful, wanton, grossly negligent and/or negligent act(s) of 96. commission and/or omission, resulted directly and/or proximately in the damages set forth herein at length.
- 97. At all times material hereto, with regard to the allegations contained herein, Harris was under the direct supervision, employ and/or control of St. Joseph's Church.
- 98. At all times material hereto, with regard to the allegations contained herein, Harris was under the direct supervision, employ and/or control of the Diocese.
- 99. At all times material hereto, St. Joseph's Church's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, and outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

100. At all times material hereto, the Diocese's actions were willful, wanton, malicious,

reckless, and outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff

As a direct and/or indirect result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries 101.

and damages described herein.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 102.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest

and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT HIRING, RETENTION, SUPERVISION, AND/OR DIRECTION

103. Plaintiff repeats and realleges by reference each and every allegation set forth in

paragraphs 1 through 102 as if fully set forth herein.

104. St. Joseph's Church hired Harris.

The Diocese hired Harris. 105.

106. St. Joseph's Church hired Harris for a position that required him to work closely

with, mentor, and counsel young boys and girls.

Diocese hired Harris for a position that required him to work closely with, mentor, 107.

and counsel young boys and girls.

St. Joseph's Church was negligent in hiring Harris because it knew or should have 108.

known, through the exercise of reasonable care of Harris's propensity to develop inappropriate

relationships with children in his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious

conduct with such children.

109. The Diocese was negligent in hiring Harris because it knew or should have known,

through the exercise of reasonable care of Harris's propensity to develop inappropriate

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

relationships with children in his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

- 110. Harris would not and could not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff had he not been hired by St. Joseph's Church to mentor and counsel children in St. Joseph's Church.
  - 111. Harris continued to molest Plaintiff while at St. Joseph's Church.
- 112. Harris would not and could not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff had he not been hired by Diocese to mentor and counsel children at St. Joseph's Church.
  - 113. Harris continued to molest plaintiff while at St. Joseph's Church.
  - 114. The harm complained of herein was foreseeable.
- 115. Plaintiff would have been not suffered the foreseeable harm complained of herein but for the negligence of St. Joseph's Church in having placed Harris, and/or allowed Harris to remain in his position.
- 116. Plaintiff would have been not suffered the foreseeable harm complained of herein but for the negligence of the Diocese in having placed Harris, and/or allowed Harris to remain in his position.
- 117. At all times while Harris was employed or appointed by St. Joseph's Church, he was supervised by St. Joseph's Church and/or its agents and employees.
- 118. At all times while Harris was employed or appointed by St. Joseph's Church, he was under the direction of, and/or answerable to, St. Joseph's Church and/or its agents and employees.
- 119. At all times while Harris was employed or appointed by the Diocese, he was supervised by the Diocese and/or its agents and employees.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

At all times while Harris was employed or appointed by the Diocese, he was under 120. the direction of, and/or answerable to, the Diocese and/or its agents and employees.

St. Joseph's Church was negligent in its direction and/or supervision of Harris in 121. that it knew or should have known, through the exercise of ordinary care that Harris's conduct would subject third parties to an unreasonable risk of harm, including Harris's propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children under his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

- 122. St. Joseph's Church failed to take steps to prevent such conduct from occurring.
- 123. The Diocese was negligent in its direction and / or supervision of Harris in that it knew or should have known, through the exercise of ordinary care, that Harris's conduct would subject third parties to an unreasonable risk of harm, including Harris's propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children under his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.
  - 124. The Diocese failed to take steps to prevent such conduct from occurring.
- St. Joseph's Church was negligent in its retention of Harris in that that it knew, or 125. should have known, of his propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children under his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.
- St. Joseph's Church retained Harris in his position as mentor and counselor to such 126. children and thus left him in a position to continue such behavior.
- 127. The Diocese was negligent in its retention of Harris in that that it knew, or should have known, of his propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children under his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

128. The Diocese retained Harris in his position as mentor and counselor to such children

and thus left him in a position to continue such behavior.

St. Joseph's Church was further negligent in its retention, supervision, and/or 129.

direction of Harris in that Harris sexually molested Plaintiff on the premises of St. Joseph's

Church.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

130. St. Joseph's Church failed to take reasonable steps to prevent such events from

occurring on its premises.

131. The Diocese was further negligent in its retention, supervision, and/or direction of

Harris in that Harris sexually molested Plaintiff on the premises of the Diocese.

132. The Diocese failed to take reasonable steps to prevent such events from occurring

on its premises.

Harris would not and could not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff

had he not been negligently retained, supervised, and/or directed by St. Joseph's Church as a

mentor and counselor to the infant parishioners of St. Joseph's Church, including Plaintiff.

134. Harris would not and could not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff

had he not been negligently retained, supervised, and/or directed by the Diocese as a mentor and

counselor to the infant parishioners of the Diocese, including Plaintiff.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 135.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest

and costs

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

136. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 135 as if fully set forth herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

137. Through the position to which Harris was assigned by St. Joseph's Church, Harris was placed in direct contact with Plaintiff.

- Harris was assigned as a priest at St. Joseph's Church, including as a teacher 138. assigned to teach Plaintiff.
- It was under these circumstances that Plaintiff was entrusted to the care of St. 139. Joseph's Church and—under its authority-came to be under the direction, control and dominance of, Harris.
  - 140. As a result, Harris used his position to sexually abuse and harass Plaintiff.
- 141. Through the position to which Harris was assigned by the Diocese, Harris was put in direct contact with Plaintiff, then a minor.
  - 142. As a result, Harris used his position to sexually abuse and harass Plaintiff.
- 143. There existed a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence, and reliance between Plaintiff and St. Joseph's Church.
- There existed a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence, and reliance between 144. Plaintiff and the Diocese
- Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, St. Joseph's Church was entrusted with the well-being, care, and safety of Plaintiff.
- Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, the Diocese was entrusted with the well-146. being, care, and safety of Plaintiff.
- 147. Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, St. Joseph's Church assumed a duty to act in the best interests of Plaintiff.
- Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, the Diocese assumed a duty to act in the best interests of Plaintiff.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

149. St. Joseph's Church breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiff.

150. The Diocese breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiff.

At all times material hereto, St. Joseph's Church's actions and/or inactions were 151.

willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of

Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

152. At all times material hereto, the Diocese's actions and/or inactions were willful,

wanton, malicious, reckless, and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.

153. As a direct result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages

described herein.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 154.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF NON-DELEGABLE DUTY

155. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 154 as if fully set forth herein.

156. Plaintiff, when he was a minor, was placed in the care of St. Joseph's Church for

the purposes of, *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with a safe environment to receive an education.

157. As a result, there existed a non-delegable duty of trust between Plaintiff and St.

Joseph's Church.

158. When Plaintiff was a minor, Plaintiff was placed in the care of the Diocese for the

purposes of, inter alia, providing Plaintiff with a safe environment to receive an education.

159. As a result, there existed a non-delegable duty of trust between Plaintiff and the

Diocese.

160. Plaintiff was a vulnerable child when placed within the care of St. Joseph's Church.

23

25 of 34

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

161. Plaintiff was a vulnerable child when placed within the care of the Diocese.

Consequently, St. Joseph's Church was in the best position to prevent Plaintiff's 162.

abuse, and to learn of Harris's repeated sexual abuse of Plaintiff and stop it.

163. Consequently, the Diocese was in the best position to prevent Plaintiff's abuse, and

to learn of Harris's repeated sexual abuse of Plaintiff and stop it.

164. By virtue of the fact that Plaintiff was sexually abused as a minor student entrusted

to the care of St. Joseph's Church, St. Joseph's Church breached its non-delegable duty to Plaintiff.

165. By virtue of the fact that Plaintiff was sexually abused as a minor student entrusted

to the care of the Diocese, the Diocese breached its non-delegable duty to Plaintiff.

166. At all times material hereto, Harris was under the direct supervision, employ and/or

control of St. Joseph's Church.

At all times material hereto, Harris was under the direct supervision, employ and/or

control of the Diocese.

As a direct result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages 168.

described herein.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 169.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF DUTY IN LOCO PARENTIS

Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 170.

through 169 as if fully set forth herein.

Plaintiff was a minor when his parents entrusted him to the control of St. Joseph's

Church for the purpose of, *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with an education.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 02:36 AM INDEX NO. 950006/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

172. St. Joseph's Church owed a duty to adequately supervise its students to prevent foreseeable injuries.

- 173. As a result, St. Joseph's Church owed a duty to Plaintiff in loco parentis.
- 174. Plaintiff was a minor when his parents entrusted him to the control of the Diocese for the purposes of, *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with an education.
- 175. The Diocese owed a duty to adequately supervise its students to prevent foreseeable injuries.
  - 176. As a result, the Diocese owed a duty to Plaintiff *in loco parentis*.
  - 177. St. Joseph's Church breached its duty in loco parentis.
  - 178. The Diocese breached its duty *in loco parentis*.
- 179. At all times material hereto, St. Joseph's Church's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, negligent, grossly negligent and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.
- 180. At all times material hereto, the Diocese's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, negligent, grossly negligent and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.
- 181. As a direct result of St. Joseph's Church's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages described herein.
- 182. As a direct result of the Diocese's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages described herein.
- 183. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

# SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 182 as if fully set forth herein.

At the time Harris molested Plaintiff, which Harris knew would cause, or 185.

disregarded the substantial probability that it would cause, severe emotional distress, St. Joseph's

Church employed Harris as Plaintiff's mentor and counselor.

186. It was part of Harris's job as role model and mentor to gain Plaintiff's trust. Harris

used his position, and the representations made by St. Joseph's Church about his character that

accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence and to create opportunities to

violate Plaintiff.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

At the time Harris molested Plaintiff, which Harris knew would cause, or

disregarded the substantial probability that it would cause, severe emotional distress, the Diocese

employed Harris as Plaintiff's mentor and counselor.

It was part of Harris's job as role model and mentor to gain Plaintiff's trust. Harris 188.

used his position, and the representations made by the Diocese about his character that

accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence and to create opportunities to

violate Plaintiff.

St. Joseph's Church knew and/or disregarded the substantial probability that

Harris's conduct would cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.

190. The Diocese knew and/or disregarded the substantial probability that Harris's

conduct would cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.

191. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, including psychological and emotional

injury as described above.

192. This distress was caused by Harris's sexual abuse of Plaintiff.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

193. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff was extreme and outrageous conduct, beyond all possible bounds of decency, atrocious and intolerable in a civilized community.

- St. Joseph's Church is liable for Harris' conduct under the doctrine of respondeat 194. superior.
  - 195. The Diocese is liable for Harris' conduct under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 196. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

## SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through as if fully set forth herein.
- As set forth at length herein, the actions of St. Joseph's Church, its predecessors 198. and/or successors, agents, servants and/or employees, were conducted in a negligent and/or grossly negligent manner.
- As set forth at length herein, the actions of the Diocese, its predecessors and/or successors, agents, servants and/or employees were conducted in a negligent and/or grossly negligent manner.
- St. Joseph's Church's actions endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear 200. for his own safety.
- The Diocese's actions endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear for his 201. own safety.
- 202. As a direct and proximate result of St. Joseph's Church's actions, which included but were not limited to, negligent and/or grossly negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered the severe injuries and damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

As a direct and proximate result of the Diocese's actions, which included but were 203. not limited to, negligent and/or grossly negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered the severe injuries and damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

In addition to its own direct liability for negligently inflicting emotional distress on 204. Plaintiff, St. Joseph's Church is also liable for Harris's negligent infliction of emotional distress under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

205. At the time Harris breached his duty to Plaintiff, Harris was employed as Plaintiff's mentor and counselor by St. Joseph's Church.

206. It was part of Harris's job as role model and mentor to gain Plaintiff's trust. Harris used his position, and the representations made by St. Joseph's Church about his character that accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence and to create opportunities to be alone with, and touch, Plaintiff.

In addition to its own direct liability for negligently inflicting emotional distress on 207. Plaintiff, the Diocese is also liable for Harris's negligent infliction of emotional distress under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

At the time Harris breached his duty to Plaintiff, Harris was employed as Plaintiff's 208. mentor and counselor by the Diocese.

It was part of Harris's job as role model and mentor to gain Plaintiff's trust. Harris 209. used his position, and the representations made by the Diocese about his character that accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence and to create opportunities to be alone with, and touch, Plaintiff.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

## EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF STATUTORY DUTY TO REPORT ABUSE UNDER SOC. SERV. LAW §§ 413, 420

- 211. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 210 as if fully set forth herein.
- 212. Pursuant to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, St. Joseph's Church, including but not limited to its teachers, administrators, and other school personnel had a statutorily imposed duty to report reasonable suspicion of abuse of children in their care.
- 213. Pursuant to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, the Diocese. including but not limited to its teachers, administrators, and other school personnel had a statutorily imposed duty to report reasonable suspicion of abuse of children in their care.
- 214. St. Joseph's Church breached that duty by knowingly and willfully failing to report reasonable suspicion of abuse by Harris of children in its care.
- 215. The Diocese breached that duty by knowingly and willfully failing to report reasonable suspicion of abuse by Harris of children in its care.
- As a direct and/or indirect result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injuries and 216. damages described herein.
- By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 217. are liable to plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

**WHEREFORE**, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant judgment in this action in favor of the Plaintiff, and against the Defendant[s], in a sum of money in excess of the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction, together with all applicable interest, costs, disbursements, as well as punitive damages and such other, further and different relief as the Court in its discretion shall deem to be just, proper and equitable.

Plaintiff further places defendant(s) on notice and reserves the right that to interpose claims sounding in Fraudulent Concealment, Deceptive Practices and/or Civil Conspiracy should the facts and discovery materials support such claims.

Dated: New York, New York August 14, 2019

By: Adam P. Slater, Esq.

SLATER SLATER SCHULMAN LLP

Counsel for Plaintiff

445 Broad Hollow Road - Suite 334

Melville, New York 11747

(631) 420-9300

-any

// .

7 /

y: Gary Certain, Esq.

CERTAIN & ZILBERG, PLLC

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10022

(212) 687-7800

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ALFREDO QUINONES,

Index No.:

Plaintiff,

**VERIFICATION** 

-against-

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK and THE CHURCH OF ST. JOSEPH OF THE HOLY FAMILY,

Defendant(s). -----X

STATE OF NEW YORK

ss.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ALFREDO QUINONES, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am the Plaintiff in the within action; that I have read the foregoing **Verified Summons** and **Complaint**, and know the contents thereof, that the same is true to the best of my own knowledge, except as to the matters herein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters I believe them to be true.

ALFREDO QUINONES

Sworn before me this

13<sup>44</sup> day of August, 2019

Notary Public

MICHAEL ZILBERG
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02ZI6140082
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires: January 17, 202 2

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 950006/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
\_\_\_\_\_\_X

ALFREDO QUINONES, Index No.:

Plaintiff,

-against
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF
NEW YORK and THE CHURCH OF ST. JOSEPH
OF THE HOLY FAMILY,

Defendant(s).

## **SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

Slater Slater Schulman LLP
Attorneys For Plaintiff
488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10022

(212)922-0906

Certain & Zilberg, PLLC

Attorneys For Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10022

(212)687-7800

#### **CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR §130-1.1-a, the undersigned, an attorney duly admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, certifies that, upon information and belief, and reasonable inquiry, the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous as defined in subsection (c) of the aforesaid section.

Adam P. Slater, Esq.

Gary Certain, Esq